

APPLICANT(S): ID DAN, Gavriel J. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 10/519,918
FILED: January 3, 2005
Page 5

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Status of Claims

Claims 12, 27, 30, 37 and 38-47 are pending in the application.

Claims 38-47 have been rejected.

Claims 12, 37, 38 and 40-47 have been amended in this submission. Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims add no new matter.

Claim 39 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. In making this cancellation without prejudice, Applicants reserve all rights in these claims to file divisional and/or continuation patent applications.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 39-47 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0106849) in view of Kaye et al. (US Patent No. 3,939,823).

Applicants point out that apparatus claim 39 has been cancelled, and system claim 37, which includes a display has been amended to include every element of claim 39. Accordingly, insofar as the Examiner has not shown that every element of claim 37 is found in the cited references, the claim is allowable over the art of record.

APPLICANT(S): IDAN, Gavriel J. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 10/519,918
FILED: January 3, 2005
Page 6

Claims 40-47 depend directly or indirectly from claim 37, and are at least for such reason likewise allowable over the art of record.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0106849) in view of Kaye et al. (US Patent No. 3,939,823).

Claim 38 has been amended to recite "simultaneously displaying in-vivo pressure data and said image, wherein said pressure data displayed is relevant to a capture time of the simultaneously displayed image." Neither the Cho reference, nor the Kaye reference discloses this element, nor does the Examiner contend that this element is disclosed. Accordingly, claim 38 is allowable over the art of record.


APPLICANT(S): IDDAN, Gavriel J. et al.
SERIAL NO.: 10/519,918
FILED: January 3, 2005
Page 7

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are deemed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Please charge any fees associated with this paper to deposit account No. 50-3355.

Respectfully submitted,


Guy Yonay
Attorney/Agent for Applicants
Registration No. 52,388

Dated: March 14, 2008

Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP
1500 Broadway, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel: (646) 878-0800
Fax: (646) 878-0801